

FY23 LA-506 Project Priority Listing

Grantee Name	Project Name	Project Type	Grant Amount	Score	Rank
Northlake Homeless Coalition	NHC CAAS Project	SSO-CE	\$ 240,323.00	N/A	1
Northlake Homeless Coalition	NHC CAAS DV Expansion	SSO-CE	\$ 128,479.00	N/A	2
Northlake Homeless Coalition	Northlake HMIS Data Project	HMIS	\$ 115,650.00	N/A	3
Volunteers of America of Southeast Louisiana	Northshore Permanent Housing 7150	PSH	\$ 1,073,044.00	100.00	4
Easter Seals Louisiana	Easter Seals PSH	PSH	\$ 141,322.00	98.00	5
NAMI St. Tammany	Hummingbird Apartments	PSH	\$ 80,177.00	96.00	6
Safe Harbor	Safe Harbor Domestic Violence Program	TH-RRH	\$ 207,624.00	96.00	7
Volunteers of America of Southeast Louisiana	PSH NSS VOASELA	PSH	\$ 272,887.00	95.00	8
Volunteers of America of Southeast Louisiana	Project CH VOAGNO	PSH	\$ 255,578.00	94.00	9
Volunteers of America of Southeast Louisiana	Project CH VOAGNO	PSH	\$ 6,052.00	94.00	9
St. Tammany Parish Government	Supportive Housing Program	RRH	\$ 132,768.00	91.00	10
Easter Seals Louisiana	Easter Seals RRH	RRH	\$ 239,794.00	91.00	11
Southeast Advocates for Family Empowerment	SAFE RRH	RRH	\$ 157,747.00	53.00	12

Tier 1	\$ 2,515,084.00
Tier 2 (projects 9-12)	\$ 536,361.00
Planning Project	\$ 135,220.00
Total	\$ 3,186,665.00

CB Scorecard - SPMs 9/19/2023

> **Project Name: Project Applicant Name:**

Supportive Housing Program VOASELA NSPH DI 14553

	RRH		Painta Passible	Dainte Fermad
	Current	Target	Points Possible	Points Earned
System Pertorma	nce Measures:		-	
2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	2%	less than 10%	5	5
2.B Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	1%	less than 10%	5	5
2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	0%	less than 10%	10	10
4.B Measure 4: Change in cash income	84%	20%+	20	20
7.D Measure 7: Remains and exits to PH	100%	90%+	20	20
Other Performance Measures:				
8 CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	100%	90%+	5	5
9 Housing First	Yes	Yes	5	5
10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	100%	95%+	5	5
10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	45%	35%+	2	2
12 CoC Monitoring Conditions	No	No	5	5
13 CAAS Referral Acceptance	100%	85%+	5	5
14 Cost Effectiveness**	10,028.45	10,681.89	5	5
15 Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	99%	60%+	5	5
16 Zero Income at Entry	35%	39%+	2	1.79
1/ Equity***	100%		6	6

PROJECT SCORE 100.00

104.79

100%

105

^{**} Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

^{**} Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

^{***} Equitable Compensation: 1 point for project staff salaries above \$15/hr; 1 point for health insurance/subsidy; 1 point for 120 hours of paid leave

^{***} Equity: 1 point for equity assessment in past three years; 1 point for BIPOC/LGBTQ+ leadership; 1 point for BIPOC/LGBTQ+ Staff



Community Evaluation CoC Bonus Project Ranking Tool

Proposal Scoring Sections	Points Possible	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Reviewer 5	Reviewer	Average
Interest and Organizational Experience								
Experience operating TH and RRH Programs (4)								
Experience working with target population (4)	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	9.83
External Accreditation (1)								
Experience with best practice interventions (1)								
Housing First/Low Barriers Approach								
Experience using the Housing First Program Model (9)	15	15	15	15	15	15	13	14.67
Previous training using the Housing First model (4)	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	14.67
Adequate plan in place to acquire additional training (2)								
Plan for Effective Case Management								
Demonstrated experience providing effective case management (5)								
Plan for crisis intervention/stabilization services (3)	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15.00
System for monitoring client progress (3)								
Dealing with challenging behaviors (4)								
Staff Experience								
Staff has commensurate experience for project (5)	1							40.00
Evidence-based practices and interventions used in project (3)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10.00
Acquisition and maintenance of skills through training/supervision (2)								
Implementation Timeline	- 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5.00
Plan for full enrollment within 5 months of award (5)	3	3	5	3	3	5	5	5.00
Financial Capacity								
Ability to operate on a reimbursement based payment system (10)	15	15	15	15	15	15	11	14.33
Adequate match to support program activities (5)								
Equity								
Experience with serving diverse populations with sensitivity (5)	4.0				40	40		0.57
Diveristy of board and Staff (3)	10	10	9	10	10	10	9	9.67
Plan to advance racial equity and nondiscrimination (2)								
Underserved Region	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Project will operate in Livingston, St. Helena or Washington Parishes	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5.00
Program Enhancement	- 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5.00
What the program "brings to the table" (5)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	5.00
TOTAL	90	90	88	90	90	90	83	88.50

0.98

FINAL SCORE 98.00

CB Scorecard - SPMs 9/19/2023

Project Name: Project Applicant Name:

Supportive Housing Program HUMMINGBIRD APT PH 31050

	KKH		Points Possible	Points Earned			
	Current	Target	FOILITS FOSSIBLE	roillis Edified			
System Performance Measures:							
2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5			
2.B Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5			
2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	0%	less than 10%	10	10			
4.A Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers	25%	20%+	20	20			
7.D Measure 7: Remains and Exits to PH	94%	90%+	20	20			

	Other Performance Measures:				
	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	87%	90%+	5	4.83
	Housing First	Yes	Yes	5	5
	HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	99%	95%+	5	5
	HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	57%	35%+	2	2
	CoC Monitoring Conditions	No	No	5	5
	CAAS Referral Acceptance	100%	85%+	5	5
	Cost Effectiveness**	5,345.13	10,883.63	5	5
	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	41%	60%+	5	3.42
	Zero Income at Entry	18%	39%+	2	0.56
17	Equity	83%		6	5

100.81 96%

105

PROJECT SCORE 96.00

^{**} Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

^{**} Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

^{***} Equitable Compensation:1 point for project staff salaries above \$15/hr; 1 point for health insurance/subsidy; 1 point for 120 hours of paid leave

^{***} Equity: 1 point for equity assessment in past three years; 1 point for BIPOC/LGBTQ+ leadership; 1 point for BIPOC/LGBTQ+ Staff

Project Name: Project Applicant Name:

Safe Harbor Safe Harbor Domestic Violence Program

		TH/	TH/RRH		Points Earned		
		Current	Target	Points Possible	roillis Edified		
System Performance Measures:							
2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness v	vithin 6 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5		
2.B Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness v	within 12 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5		
2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homele	ss or temp destinations	0%	less than 10%	10	10		
4.A Measure 4: Change in employment in	ncome for leavers	20%	20%+	10	10		
7.C Measure 7: Exits to PH		100%	90%+	20	20		
7.E Measure 7: Safety Outcomes		100%	100%	20	20		

	Other Performance Measures:						
8	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	100%	90%+	5	5		
9	Housing First	Yes	Yes	5	5		
12	CoC Monitoring Conditions	No	No	5	5		
14	Cost Effectiveness**	6,464.12	5,023.79	5	3		
15	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	50%	60%+	5	4.17		
16	Zero Income at Entry	33%	39%+	2	1.69		
17	Equity***	83%		6	5		

98.86

103

96%

PROJECT SCORE	96.00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

^{**} Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

^{**} Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

^{***} Equitable Compensation:1 point for project staff salaries above \$15/hr; 1 point for health insurance/subsidy; 1 point for 120 hours of paid leave

^{***} Equity: 1 point for equity assessment in past three years; 1 point for BIPOC/LGBTQ+ leadership; 1 point for BIPOC/LGBTQ+ Staff

CB Scorecard - SPMs 9/19/2023

> Project Name: Project Applicant Name:

VOASELA PSH NSS 32484 VOASELA

95%

	PSH		Dointo Dossible	Dainta Farmad
	Current	Target	Points Possible	Points Earned
System Pertormo	ance Measures:		-	
2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5
2.B Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5
2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	0%	less than 10%	10	10
4.B Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers	42%	20%+	20	20
7.D Measure 7: Remains and exits to PH	100%	90%+	20	20
Other Performance Measures:				
8 CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	100%	90%+	5	5
9 Housing First	Yes	Yes	5	5
10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	100%	95%+	5	5
10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	80%	35%+	2	2
12 CoC Monitoring Conditions	No	No	5	5
13 CAAS Referral Acceptance	100%	85%+	5	5
14 Cost Effectiveness**	15,160.39	10,681.89	5	1
15 Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	100%	60%+	5	5
16 Zero Income at Entry	11%	39%+	2	0.56
17 Equity***	100%		6	6
			105	99.56

PROJECT SCORE 95.00

^{**} Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

^{**} Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

^{***} Equitable Compensation:1 point for project staff salaries above \$15/hr; 1 point for health insurance/subsidy; 1 point for 120 hours of paid leave

^{***} Equity: 1 point for equity assessment in past three years; 1 point for BIPOC/LGBTQ+ leadership; 1 point for BIPOC/LGBTQ+ Staff

CB Scorecard - SPMs 9/19/2023

Project Name: Project Applicant Name:

Supportive Housing Program VOASELA PROJECT CH 31684

Troject Applicant Nume:						
	Current	RH Target	Points Possible	Points Earned		
System Performana		14.50.	ļ			
2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	2%	less than 10%	5	5		
2.B Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	1%	less than 10%	5	5		
2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	0%	less than 10%	10	10		
4.A Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers	87%	20%+	20	20		
7.C Measure 7: Exits to PH	100%	90%+	20	20		
Other Performance Measures: 8 CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	85%	90%+	5	4.72		
1 ,,	85%	90%+	5	4.72		
9 Housing First	Yes	Yes	5	5		
10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	100%	95%+	5	5		
10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	14%	35%+	2	0.8		
12 CoC Monitoring Conditions	No	No	5	5		
13 CAAS Referral Acceptance	100%	85%+	5	5		
14 Cost Effectiveness**	14,535.00	10,681.89	5	2		
15 Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	100%	60%+	5	5		
16 Zero Income at Entry	11%	39%+	2	0.56		
17 Equity***	100%		6	6		
			105	99.1		

PROJECT SCORE 94.00

94%

^{**} Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

^{**} Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

^{***} Equitable Compensation:1 point for project staff salaries above \$15/hr; 1 point for health insurance/subsidy; 1 point for 120 hours of paid leave

^{***} Equity: 1 point for equity assessment in past three years; 1 point for BIPOC/LGBTQ+ leadership; 1 point for BIPOC/LGBTQ+ Staff

CB Scorecard - SPMs 9/19/2023

Project Name:

Supportive Housing Program

Project Applicant Name: St. Tammany Parish Government 13494

		RRH		Points Earned			
	Current	Target	Points Possible	roinis carnea			
System Performance Measures:							
2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	5%	less than 10%	5	5			
2.B Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5			
2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	21%	less than 10%	10	6			
4.A Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers	44%	20%+	20	20			
7.C Measure 7: Exits to PH	79%	90%+	20	17.55			
			20	17.55			

Other Performance Measures:				
8 CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	100%	90%+	5	5
9 Housing First	Yes	Yes	5	5
10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	98%	95%+	5	5
10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	14%	35%+	2	0.8
12 CoC Monitoring Conditions	No	No	5	5
13 CAAS Referral Acceptance	100%	85%+	5	5
14 Cost Effectiveness**	4,207.60	5,023.79	5	5
15 Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	50%	60%+	5	4.17
16 Zero Income at Entry	38%	39%+	2	1.95
17 Equity***	83%		6	5
			105	95.47

PROJECT SCORE 91.00

91%

^{**} Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

^{**} Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

^{***} Equitable Compensation: 1 point for project staff salaries above \$15/hr; 1 point for health insurance/subsidy; 1 point for 120 hours of paid leave

^{***} Equity: 1 point for equity assessment in past three years; 1 point for BIPOC/LGBTQ+ leadership; 1 point for BIPOC/LGBTQ+ Staff



Community Evaluation CoC Bonus Project Ranking Tool

Proposal Scoring Sections	Points Possible	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Average
Interest and Organizational Experience						
Experience operating RRH Programs (8)					1	1
Experience working with target population (5)	15	13	13	15	15	14.00
External Accreditation (1)						
Experience with best practice interventions (1)						
System Performance Measures						
Decreasing Length of Time Homeless (6)		18	10	17	20	16.25
Increases to Income and Connection to Mainstream Resources (6)	20					
Successful Permanent Housing Outcomes (8)						
Housing First/Low Barriers Approach						
Experience using the Housing First Program Model (12)		17	16	20	20	18.25
Previous training using the Housing First model (6)	20					
Adequate plan in place to acquire additional training (2)						
Plan for Effective Case Management						
Demonstrated experience providing effective case management (10)		16		20	20	17.75
Plan for crisis intervention/stabilization services (3)	20		15			
System for monitoring client progress (3)						
Dealing with challenging behaviors (4)						
Staff Experience		9	8	8	8	8.25
Staff has commensurate experience for project (5)	10					
Evidence-based practices and interventions used in project (3)						
Acquisition of skills through training/supervision (2)						
Implementation Timeline	-	-	4	-	5	4.75
Plan for full enrollment within 5 months of award (5)	5	5	4	5	5	4.75
Financial Capacity		15	15	15	15	15.00
Ability to operate on a reimbursement based payment system (10)	15					
Adequate match to support program activities (5)						
Equity		9	8		9	9.00
Experience with serving diverse populations with sensitivity (5)						
Diveristy of board and Staff (3)	10			10		
Plan to advance racial equity and nondiscrimination (2)						
Underserved Region		10	8	10	10	9.50
Project will operate in Livingston, St. Helena or Washington Parishes	10					
Housing, Health and Service Agencies						
Health and Housing Coordination to address health needs	10	10	9	10	10	9.75
Program Enhancement		5 5	4	5	5	4.75
What the program "brings to the table" (5)	5					
TOTAL	140	127	110	135	137	127.25

0.91

FINAL SCORE	91.00



Community Evaluation DV Bonus Project Ranking Tool

Proposal Scoring Sections	Points Possible	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Average
Interest and Organizational Experience					5	6.75
Experience operating RRH Programs (8)						
Experience working with target population (5)	15	6	8	8		
External Accreditation (1)						
Experience with best practice interventions (1)						
Safety Planning						
Use of Comparable Database (6)		9	10	13	10	10.50
Demonstrated experience serving DV Survivors (6)	20					
Demonstrated experience and process for showing safety outcomes (8)						
System Performance Measures		7	6	10	10	8.25
Decreasing Length of Time Homeless (6)						
Increases to Income and Connection to Mainstream Resources (6)	20					
Successful Permanent Housing Outcomes (8)						
Housing First/Low Barriers Approach				10	10	12.50
Experience using the Housing First Program Model (12)			12			
Previous training using the Housing First model (6)	20	18				
Adequate plan in place to acquire additional training (2)						
Plan for Effective Case Management						11.50
Demonstrated experience providing effective case management (10)		10	12	13	11	
Plan for crisis intervention/stabilization services (3)	20					
System for monitoring client progress (3)						
Dealing with challenging behaviors (4)						
Staff Experience		2	5	5	3	3.75
Staff has commensurate experience for project (5)						
Evidence-based practices and interventions used in project (3)	10					
Acquisition of skills through training/supervision (2)						
Implementation Timeline	_		_	_	_	
Plan for full enrollment within 5 months of award (5)	5	3	4	5	5	4.25
Financial Capacity		5	11	7	5	7.00
Ability to operate on a reimbursement based payment system (10)	15					
Adequate match to support program activities (5)						
Equity		7	6	6	5	6.00
Experience with serving diverse populations with sensitivity (5)						
Diveristy of board and Staff (3)	10					
Plan to advance racial equity and nondiscrimination (2)						
Underserved Region		10	8	10	10	9.50
Project will operate in Livingston, St. Helena or Washington Parishes	10					
Housing, Health and Service Agencies		0	0	0	5	1.25
Health and Housing Coordination to address health needs	10					
Program Enhancement	-		4	-	- 1	4.25
What the program "brings to the table" (5)	5	4	3	5	5	4.25
TOTAL	160	81	85	92	84	85.50

0.53

FINAL SCORE 53.00