Project PHVA (7170)
Volunteers of America of Souther

Volunteers of America of Southeast Louisiana

7.A Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach	7.A Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach 7.B Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing	7.A Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach 7.B Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing 7.C Measure 7: Exits to PH
2.8 Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months 2.0 Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months 2.1 Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations 3 Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons 4.A Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers 4.B Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers 5 Measure 5: Persons who become homeless for the first time 7.A Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach	ess within 12 months neless or temp destinations Persons Persons ent income for leavers me for stayers homeless for the first time it from street outreach CAAS to permanent housing	ess within 12 months neless or temp destinations Persons ent income for leavers me for stayers homeless for the first time to from street outreach CAAS to permanent housing
#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
less than 10%	less than 10%	less than 10%
10	10	10 10 20
10	10	10

	Other Performance Measures:	Measures:			
œ	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	100%	90%+	5	5
9	Housing First	Yes	Yes	5	5
10.A	A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	100%	95%+	5	5
10.B	HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	0%	10%+	2	0
=	CoC Participation	100%	80%+	3	3
12	CoC Monitoring Conditions	No	No	5	5
13	CAAS Referral Acceptance	#DIV/0!	80%+	5	5
14	Cost Effectiveness***	Yes	Yes	5	5
15	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	100%	50%+	5	5

16 Zero Income at Entry 50% 25%+ 2 2 17 Youth (18-24) 0% 10%+ 1 0 18 Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence 0% 10%+ 1 0 19 Persons experiencing chronic homelessness 100% 25%+ 1 to 4 4 20 Veferans 50% 10%+ 1 1 1		Prioritized Populations: Bonus Points	Bonus Points			
Yersons Fleeing Domestic Violence 0% Persons experiencing chronic homelessness 100% Yeterans 50%	16	Zero Income at Entry	50%	25%+	2	2
Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence 0% Persons experiencing chronic homelessness 100% Peterans 50%	17	Youth (18-24)	0%	10%+	1	0
Persons experiencing chronic homelessness 100% Veterans 50%	18		0%	10%+	1	0
/eterans 50%	19		100%	25%+	1 to 4	4
	20	Veterans	50%	10%+	1	1

Subtotal

98

PROJECT SCORE

^{***}Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

^{***}Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

Permanent Housing for the Homeless with Disabilities

Project Name:
Project Applicant Name:

Volunteers of America of Southeast Louisiana

		7.B M	7.A M	5 M	4.B M	4.A M	3 M	2.C M	2.B M	2.A M	1.B M	1.A M			
	Measure 7: Exits to PH	Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing	7.A Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach	Measure 5: Persons who become homeless for the first time	Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers	4.A Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers	Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons	2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	1.B Measure 1: Referral Outcome to Housing	1.A Measure 1: Entry to Certification	System Performance Measures:		
100%					100%			0%	0%	0%	12		e Measures:	Current	P.
					18.5%+			less than 10%	less than 10%	less than 10%	45 Days or Less			Target	PSH
၁ဂ					10			10	5	5	10			rollis rossible	Pointe Possible
20					10			10	5	5	10			rollis Edilled	

1							- 1				í
	15	14	13	12	11	10.B	10.A	9	œ		
Subtotal	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	Cost Effectiveness***	CAAS Referral Acceptance	CoC Monitoring Conditions	CoC Participation	10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	Housing First	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	Other Performance Measures:	
	92%	Yes	100%	No	100%	35%	99%	Yes	100%	Measures:	
	50%+	Yes	80%+	No	80%+	10%+	95%+	Yes	+%00		
	5	5	5	5	3	2	5	5	5		
100	5	5	5	5	ယ	2	5	5	5		

	Prioritized Populations: Bonus Points	: Bonus Points			
16	16 Zero Income at Entry	42%	25%+	2	2
17	Youth (18-24)	0%	10%+	1	0
18	Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	4%	10%+	1	0
19	Persons experiencing chronic homelessness	92%	25%+	1 to 4	ω
20	20 Veterans	0%	10%+	1	0
	PROJECT SCORE				105

^{***}Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

^{***}Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

Volunteers of America of Southeast Louisiana Project CH TWSH (7190)

		PSH	¥	Polish Possible	7
		Current	Target	rollis rossible	rollis Edilled
	System Performance Measures:	Measures:			
1.A	Measure 1: Entry to Certification				
1.B	Measure 1: Referral Outcome to Housing	#DIV/0i	45 Days or Less	01	10
2.A	Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5
2.B	Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5
2.C	Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	0%	less than 10%	01	10
3	Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons				
4.A	Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers				
4.B	Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers	44%	18.5%+	10	10
5	Measure 5: Persons who become homeless for the first time				
7.A	Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach				
7.B	Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing				
7.C	Measure 7: Exits to PH				
7.D	7.D Measure 7: Remains and exits to PH	100%	90%+	20	20

1	15	14	13	12	11	10.B	10.A	9	8	
Subtotal	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	Cost Effectiveness***	CAAS Referral Acceptance	CoC Monitoring Conditions	CoC Participation	10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	Housing First	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	Other Performance Measures:
	80%	Yes	100%	No	100%	0%	100%	Yes	100%	Measures:
	50%+	Yes	80%+	No	80%+	10%+	95%+	Yes	90%+	
	5	5	5	5	3	2	5	5	5	
98	- 5	5	5	5	3	0	5	5	5	

	20	19	18	17	16	
PROJECT SCORE	Veterans	Persons experiencing chronic homelessness	Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Youth (18-24)	16 Zero Income at Entry	Prioritized Populations: Bonus Points
	0%	87%	0%	0%	27%	Bonus Points
	10%+	25%+	10%+	10%+	25%+	
	1	1 to 4	1	1	2	
103	0	3	0	0	2	

^{***}Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

^{***}Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

Permanent Supportive Housing Homeless Persons with Disabilities (7050)

Volunteers of America of Southeast Louisiana

System Performance Measures:
1.A Measure 1: Entry to Certification
1.B Measure 1: Referral Outcome to Housing
2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months
2.B Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months
2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations
3 Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons
4.A Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers
4.B Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers
Measure 5: Persons who become homeless for the first time
7.A Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach
7.B Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing
7.C Measure 7: Exits to PH

	15	14	13	12	11	10.B	10.A	9	8	
Subtotal	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	Cost Effectiveness***	CAAS Referral Acceptance	CoC Monitoring Conditions	CoC Participation	10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	Housing First	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	Other Performance Measures:
	89%	Yes	#DIV/0!	No	100%	0%	100%	Yes	100%	Measures:
	50%+	Yes	80%+	No	80%+	10%+	95%+	Yes	+%06	
	5	5	5	5	3	2	5	5	5	
98	5	5	5	5	3	0	5	5	5	

	20	19	18	17	16	
PROJECT SCORE	20 Veterans	19 Persons experiencing chronic homelessness	Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Youth (18-24)	16 Zero Income at Entry	Prioritized Populations: Bonus Points
	11%	67%	0%	0%	33%	: Bonus Points
	10%+	25%+	10%+	10%+	25%+	
	1	1 to 4	1	1	2	
103	1	2	0	0	2	

^{***}Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

^{***}Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

Project ROK (6070) Volunteers of America of Southeast Louisiana

		PSH	H	Boint Bossible	Doint Farmon
		Current	Target	rollis rossible	rollis camea
	System Performance Measures:	e Measures:			
1.A	1.A Measure 1: Entry to Certification				
1.B	Measure 1: Referral Outcome to Housing	#DIV/0i	45 Days or Less	10	10
2.A	2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5
2.B	2.B Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5
2.C	2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	0%	less than 10%	10	10
သ	Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons				
4.A	Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers				
4.B	Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers	93%	18.5%+	10	10
5	Measure 5: Persons who become homeless for the first time				
7.A	7.A Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach				
7.B	Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing				
7.C	Measure 7: Exits to PH				
7.D	7.D Measure 7: Remains and exits to PH	100%	90%+	20	20

Subtot	15 Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	14 Cost Effectiveness***	13 CAAS Referral Acceptance	12 CoC Monitoring Conditions	11 CoC Participation	10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	9 Housing First	8 CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	Other Pe
Subtotal	ally Homeless	7. 带着	eptance	onditions		- Timeliness	- Completeness		Capacity/Unit Utilization	Other Perforr
	77%	Yes	#DIV/0!	No	100%	7%	100%	Yes	100%	Other Performance Measures:
	50%+	Yes	80%+	No	80%+	10%+	95%+	Yes	+%09	
	5	5	5	5	ω	2	5	5	5	
99	5	5	5	5	3	1	5	5	5	

	Prioritized Populations: Bonus Points	: Bonus Points			
16	16 Zero Income at Entry	35%	25%+	2	2
17	Youth (18-24)	4%	10%+	1	0
18	Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	4%	10%+	1	0
19	Persons experiencing chronic homelessness	31%	25%+	1 to 4	1
20	20 Veterans	4%	10%+	1	0

^{***}Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

Project Score

^{***}Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

Gimme Shelta- Scattered Site Permanent Housing (6040)
Volunteers of America of Southeast Louisiana

		.0.	PSH	Paint Panilla	
		Current	Target	rollis rossible	rollis callied
	System Performance Measures:	e Measures:			
1.A	Measure 1: Entry to Certification				
1.B	Measure 1: Referral Outcome to Housing	#DIV/0!	45 Days	10	10
2.A	2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5
2.B	Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	0%	less than 10%	.5	5
2.C	Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	0%	less than 10%	10	10
ω	Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons				
4.A	4.A Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers				
4.B	Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers	87%	18.5%+	10	10
5	Measure 5: Persons who become homeless for the first time				
7.A	Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach				
7.B	Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing				
7.C	7.C Measure 7: Exits to PH				
7.D	Measure 7: Remains and exits to PH	100%	90%+	20	20

Ē,	15	14	13	12	11	10.B	10.A	9	8	
Subtotal	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	Cost Effectiveness***	CAAS Referral Acceptance	CoC Monitoring Conditions	CoC Participation	10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	Housing First	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	Other Performance Measures:
	85%	Yes	100%	No	100%	7%	100%	Yes	100%	Measures:
	50%+	Yes	80%+	No	80%+	10%+	95%+	Yes	+%06	
	5	5	5	5	ω	. 2	5	5	5	
99	5	5	5	51	ω	_	5	5	5	

	Prioritized Populations: Bonus Points	: Bonus Points			
16	Zero Income at Entry	35%	25%+	2	2
17	Youth (18-24)	4%	10%+	1	0
18	Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	4%	10%+	1	0
19	Persons experiencing chronic homelessness	31%	25%+	1 to 4	1
20	20 Veterans	4%	10%+	1	0

*
**
0
St
eff
fecti
¥.
é
90
ess
8
È
be
me
QS
sure
De
Q
Y C
0
15
per
D L
ě
erm
ar
Э
\Rightarrow
ho
Č
sin
ğ
00
C
Om
9
0
OM
귱
ğ
.eo
-
0
$\stackrel{\hookrightarrow}{+}$
ğ
O
0
9
am.
S
9
=
Ð
SQ
\exists
0
00
3
po
ne
n.
7
-

PROJECT SCORE

^{***}Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

Project CH PSH Northshore (7070)

Volunteers of America of Southeast Louisiana

		P	PSH		7.1.1. 7
		Current	Target	roints rossible	Points Earnea
	System Performance Measures:	e Measures:			
1.A	Measure 1: Entry to Certification				
1.B	1.B Measure 1: Referral Outcome to Housing	30	45 Days or Less	10	10
2.A	2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	#DIV/0!	less than 10%	5	Մ
2.B	Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	#DIV/0!	less than 10%	5	5
2.C	Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	0%	less than 10%	10	10
3	Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons				
4.A	4.A Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers				
4.B	Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers	#DIV/0!	18.5%+	10	10
5	Measure 5: Persons who become homeless for the first time				
7.A	7.A Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach				
7.B	7.B Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing				
7.C	7.C Measure 7: Exits to PH				
7.D	7.D Measure 7: Remains and exits to PH	100%	90%+	20	20

	15	14	13	12	11	10.B	10.A	9	œ	
Subtotal	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	Cost Effectiveness***	CAAS Referral Acceptance	CoC Monitoring Conditions	CoC Participation	10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	Housing First	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	Other Performance Measures:
	100%	40% more than avg	700%	No	100%	33%	99%	Yes	700%	e Measures:
	50%+	Yes	+%08	No	80%+	10%+	95%+	Yes	90%+	
E	5	5	5	5	3	2	5	5	5	×
96	5	1	5	5	3	2	5	5	5	

	Prioritized Populations: Bonus Points	Bonus Points			
16	Zero Income at Entry	45%	25%+	2	2
17	Youth (18-24)	0%	10%+	1	0
18	Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	0%	10%+	1	0
19	Persons experiencing chronic homelessness	100%	25%+	1 to 4	4
20	Veterans	0%	10%+	1	0

^{***}Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

PROJECT SCORE

^{***}Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

Project CH VOAGNO (7060) Volunteers of America of Southeast Louisiana

1	7 0	7.C	7.B	7.A	G	4.B	4.A	ω	2.C	2.B	2.A	1.B	1.A			
THE CASE OF THE CASE OF THE	7 D Measure 7: Remains and exits to PH	Measure 7: Exits to PH	Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing	7.A Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach	Measure 5: Persons who become homeless for the first time	Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers	4.A Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers	Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons	2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	2.B Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	Measure 1: Referral Outcome to Housing	1.A Measure 1: Entry to Certification	System Performance Measures:		
100/0	700%					75%			0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	5		Measures:	Current	PSH
70/01	+ @00					18.5%+			less than 10%	less than 10%	less than 10%	45 Days or Less			Target	H
20	30					10			10	5	5	10			I OIIIIS I OSSIDIE	Points Possible
0.7	20					10			10	5	5	10			- Ollis Edilled	Points Formod

	15 Hard to S	14 Cost Effe	13 CAAS Re	12 CoC Mo	11 CoC Par	10.B HMIS Da	10.A HMIS Da	9 Housing First	8 CoC Fun	
Subtotal	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	Cost Effectiveness***	CAAS Referral Acceptance	CoC Monitoring Conditions	CoC Participation	10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	First	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	Other Performance Measures:
11	100%	34% more than avg	100%	No	100%	50%	100%	Yes	100%	e Measures:
	50%+	Yes	80%+	No	80%+	10%+	95%+	Yes	90%+	
	5	5	5	5	3	2	5	5	5	
9,6	5	1	5	5	3	2	5	5	5	

Prioritized Populations: Bonus Points	ns: Bonus Points		
16 Zero Income at Entry	35%	25%+	2
17 Youth (18-24)	0%	10%+	1
18 Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	0%	10%+	1
19 Persons experiencing chronic homelessness	90%	25%+	1 to 4
20 Veterans	5%	10%+	1

^{***}Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

PROJECT SCORE

^{***}Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

NHC RRH Northlake Homeless Coalition

		RRH	Ĩ	_	Parish Farman
		Current	Target	roinis rossible	Points Earnea
	System Performance Measures:	e Measures:			
1.A	1.A Measure 1: Entry to Certification				
1.B	Measure 1: Referral Outcome to Housing	24	45 Days or Less	10	10
2.A	Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5
2.B	Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	3%	less than 10%	5	5
2.C	2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	0%	less than 10%	10	10
ω	Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons				
4.A	Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers	69%	17%+	10	10
4.B	Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers				
5	Measure 5: Persons who become homeless for the first time				
7.A	Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach				
7.B	Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing				
7.C	Measure 7: Exits to PH	100%	90%+	20	20
7.D	7.D Measure 7: Remains and exits to PH				

	15	14	13	12	11	10.B	10.A	9	8	
Subtotal	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	Cost Effectiveness***	CAAS Referral Acceptance	CoC Monitoring Conditions	CoC Participation	10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	Housing First	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	Other Performance Measures:
	39%	less than avg	100%	No	86%	22%	99%	Yes	125%	e Measures:
T .	50%+	Yes	80% +	No	80%+	25%+	95%+	Yes	90%+	
	5	5	5	5	ω	2	5	5	5	
97	သ	5	5	5	3	1	5	5	5	

20 V	19 P	18 P	17 Y	16 Z		
20 Veterans	Persons experiencing chronic homelessness	Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Youth (18-24)	Zero Income at Entry	Prioritized Populations: Bonus Points	
0%	0%	14%	18%	36%	Bonus Points	
10%+	10%+	10%+	15%+	33%+		
1	1 to 4	1	1	2		
0	0	1	1	2		

^{***}Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

PROJECT SCORE

^{***}Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

Northshore Permanent Housing for Disabled Individuals (7150)

Project Name:
Project Applicant Name:

Volunteers of America of Southeast Louisiana

7.D	7.C	7.B	7.A	5	4.B	4.A	ω	2.C	2.B	2.A	1.B	1.A			
7.D Measure 7: Remains and exits to PH	Measure 7: Exits to PH	7.B Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing	7.A Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach	Measure 5: Persons who become homeless for the first time	4.B Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers	4.A Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers	Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons	2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	2.B Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	1.B Measure 1: Referral Outcome to Housing	1.A Measure 1: Entry to Certification	System Performance Measures:		
100%					80%			0%	7%	0%	35		e Measures:	Current	ъ
+%09					18.5%+			less than 10%	less than 10%	less than 10%	45 Days or Less			Target	PSH
20					10			10	5	5	10			rollis rossible	
20					10			10	5	5	10			rollis callied	ם אולי ב

	15	14	13	12	11	10.B	10.A	9	8	
Subtotal	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	Cost Effectiveness***	CAAS Referral Acceptance	CoC Monitoring Conditions	CoC Participation	10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	Housing First	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	Other Performance Measures:
	73%	3% More than avg	100%	No	100%	7%	100%	Yes	96%	Measures:
	50%+	Yes	80%+	No	80%+	10%+	95%+	Yes	+%09	
	5	5	5	5	3	2	5	5	5	
97	5	3	5	5	3	1	5	5	5	

	Prioritized Populations: Bonus Points	s: Bonus Points			
16	16 Zero Income at Entry	25%	25%+	2	2
17	Youth (18-24)	0%	10%+	1	0
18	Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	0%	10%+	1	0
19	Persons experiencing chronic homelessness	45%	25%+	1 to 4	1
20	Veterans	8%	10%+	T	0

^{***}Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

PROJECT SCORE

^{***}Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

Supportive Housing Program St. Tammany Parish Government

		RRH	Ĥ	Points Bookle	Points Farman
		Current	Target	rollis rossible	rollis callied
	System Performance Measures:	Measures:			
7	1.A Measure 1: Entry to Certification				
1.B	Measure 1: Referral Outcome to Housing	10	45 Days or Less	10	10
2.	2.A Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5
2.	2.B Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5
2.0	2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	0%	less than 10%	10	10
з	Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons				
4.A	Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers	30%	17%+	10	10
4.B	Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers				
5	Measure 5: Persons who become homeless for the first time				
7.	7.A Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach				
7.B	Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing				
7.0	7.C Measure 7: Exits to PH	100%	90%+	20	20
7.1	7.D Measure 7: Remains and exits to PH				

	15	14	13	12	11	10.B	10.A	9	œ	
Subtotal	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	Cost Effectiveness***	CAAS Referral Acceptance	CoC Monitoring Conditions	CoC Participation	10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	0.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	Housing First	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	Other Performance Measures:
	88%	less than avg	83%	No	54%	42%	100%	Yes	150%	Measures:
E.	50%+	Yes	80%+	No	80%+	25%+	95%+	Yes	+%09	
	5	5	5	5	З	2	5	5	5	
98	5	5	5	5	-	2	5	5	5	

	20	19	18	17	16	
PROJECT SCORE	Veterans	Persons experiencing chronic homelessness	Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Youth (18-24)	16 Zero Income at Entry	Prioritized Populations: Bonus Points
	0%	0%	29%	24%	24%	Bonus Points
	10%+	10%+	10%+	15%+	33%+	
	_	1 to 4	1	1	2	
100	0	0	1	,	0	

^{***}Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

^{***}Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.

Supportive Housing - Permanent Housing (Hummingbird

Project Name:
Project Applicant Name:

Apartments)
NAMI St. Tammany

		Po	PSH	Dainta Bassible	Dainta Earnad
		Current	Target	rollis rossible	rollis Edilled
	System Performance Measures:	e Measures:			
1.A	1.A Measure 1: Entry to Certification				
1.B	Measure 1: Referral Outcome to Housing	3	45 Days or Less	10	10
2.A	Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	#DIV/0!	less than 10%	5	5
2.B	Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	#DIV/0!	less than 10%	5	5
2.C	2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	%0	less than 10%	10	10
ω	Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons				
4.A	Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers				
4.B	Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers	43%	18.5%+	10	10
5	Measure 5: Persons who become homeless for the first time				
7.A	Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach				
7.B	Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing				
7.C	Measure 7: Exits to PH				
7.D	7.D Measure 7: Remains and exits to PH	92%	90%+	20	20

	15	14	13	12	11	10.B	10.A	9	8		
Subtotal	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	Cost Effectiveness***	CAAS Referral Acceptance	CoC Monitoring Conditions	CoC Participation	10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	Housing First	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	Other Performance Measures:	
	23%	Yes	100%	No	100%	17%	100%	Yes	100%	Measures:	
	50%+	Yes	80%+	No	80%+	10%+	95%+	Yes	90%+		
	5	5	5	5	ω	2	5	5	5		
98	3	5	5	5	သ	2	5	5	5		

	19 Persons experiencing chronic homelessness	18 Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	17 Youth (18-24)	16 Zero Income at Entry	
	omelessness	nce			Prioritized Populations: Bonus Points
0%	15%	0%	0%	23%	s: Bonus Points
10%+	25%+	10%+	10%+	25%+	
_	1 to 4	1	1	2	
0	0	0	0	0	

^{***}Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program. ***Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

PROJECT SCORE

SAFE RRH Southeast Spouse Abuse Program (dba SAFE)

		RRH	Ĩ	_	,
		Current	Target	roinis rossible	Points Earnea
	System Performance Measures:	e Measures:			
1.A	1.A Measure 1: Entry to Certification				
1.B	Measure 1: Referral Outcome to Housing	18	45 Days or Less	10	10
2.A	Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 6 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5
2.B	Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness within 12 months	0%	less than 10%	5	5
2.C	2.C Measure 2: Exits to unknown, homeless or temp destinations	15%	less than 10%	10	7
3	Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons				
4.A	Measure 4: Change in employment income for leavers	31%	17%+	10	10
4.B	Measure 4: Change in cash income for stayers				
5	Measure 5: Persons who become homeless for the first time				
7.A	Measure 7: Successful placement from street outreach				
7.B	Measure 7: Persons who exit from CAAS to permanent housing				
7.C	Measure 7: Exits to PH	85%	90%+	20	14
7.D	7.D Measure 7: Remains and exits to PH				

L	15	14	13	12	11	10.B	10.A	9	8	
Subtotal	Hard to Serve: Literally Homeless	Cost Effectiveness***	CAAS Referral Acceptance	CoC Monitoring Conditions	CoC Participation	10.B HMIS Data Quality - Timeliness	10.A HMIS Data Quality - Completeness	Housing First	CoC Funded Unit Capacity/Unit Utilization	Other Performance Measures:
	3%	6% more than avg	N/A	No	83%	N/A	N/A	Yes	125%	Measures:
	50%+	Yes	80% +	No	80%+	25%+	95%+	Yes	90%+	
	5	5		5	ы			5	5	
82	0	ယ		5	သ			5	5	

	20	19	18	17	16		
PROJECT SCORE	20 Veterans	Persons experiencing chronic homelessness	Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence	Youth (18-24)	Zero Income at Entry	Prioritized Populations: Bonus Points	
	0%	0%	100%	13%	29%	Bonus Points	
	10%+	10%+	10%+	15%+	33%+		
	1	1 to 4	1	1	2		
83	0	0	1	0	0		

^{***}Cost effectiveness will be measured by cost per permanent housing outcome compared to other programs of the same component type.

^{***}Programs will also be allowed to submit a cost savings report demonstrating reductions in usage of crisis services as a result of the program.